

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,843	03/24/2004	Helmuth Gabl	ANDPAT/186/US	5476
2543 ATIV VALE &	7590 02/22/2007 P. DISTAS I I D	EXAMINER		
ALIX YALE & RISTAS LLP 750 MAIN STREET SUITE 1400 HARTFORD, CT 06103			ROSENBAUM, MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
miner one,	31 00103		3725	
				·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/807,843	GABL, HELMUTH				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Rosenbaum	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may not will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mu tute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	January 2007.					
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-21,23-26,28 and 29</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-21,23-26,28,29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) dbjected t	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· ·					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	nts have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority docume						
Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	en received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _					
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Note that the amendment to the claims of treating a pulp **web** is a new issue and required extensive further searching of the claimed subject matter. It should also be noted that the term 'beating' is broad enough to read on many types of work done on web material e.g. compressing, shredding, etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7,24-26,28,29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clark. The figures show confronting rolls with beating surfaces treating a web.

Claims 7,9,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ramo. Figure 1 shows confronting rolls treating a web material.

Claims 7-11,15,16,18,20,21,23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sutherland. Figures 1 and 2 show web material being treated by confronting rolls. Figures 5-7 show various embodiments of roll surfaces.

Art Unit: 3725

Claims 7,9-11,15,16,18,21,28,29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hurst. Figures 1-4 show confronting rolls treating web material. Note the extended portions of the rolls in figures 3 and 4 which treat the rolls.

Claims 7-9,11,14,15,21,28,29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Collins. Figure 2 shows spiked rolls used to treat web material.

Claims 7,11,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vesa et al. Figure 3 shows spiked rolls treating web material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sutherland. The limitations of these claims would have been obvious design choices only once the basic apparatus was known. For example, angularly traversing the grooves would depend on several factors such as material being treated and desired product size.

Claims 12,13,17,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hurst. The limitations of these claims would have been obvious design choices only once the basic apparatus was known. For example, angularly traversing the

Art Unit: 3725

grooves would depend on several factors such as material being treated and desired product size.

Claims 12,13,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collins. The limitations of these claims would have been obvious design choices only once the basic apparatus was known. For example, angularly traversing the grooves would depend on several factors such as material being treated and desired product size.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment e.g. pulp web, necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3725

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

MR